Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Program Educational Objectives (50)

1.1. Vision and Mission statements 05 A. Availability of the Vision & Mission statements (2) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Vision & Mission Statements & B. Correctness from definition perspective 1.2. Program Educational Objectives 05 A. Vision & Mission Statements 05 A. Listing of the Program Educational Objectives (3 to 5 PEOs) (PEOs)statements 05 A. Listing of the Program Educational Objectives (3 to 5 PEOs) (PEOs)statements 05 A. Listing of the Program Educational Objectives (3 to 5 PEOs) (PEOs)statements 06 A. Availability & correctness of the PEOs statements 1.3. Dissemination among Stakeholders 10 A. Adequacy in respect of publication & dissemination (2) B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (2) C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (6) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Adequacy: Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website; Availability at Principal & In charges chambers, notice boards, Availa, in document/scourse of study B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementate 1.4. Formulation Process 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B.	Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Vision & Mission Statements & B. Correctness from definition perspective 1.2. Program Educational Objectives 05 A. Listing of the Program Educational Objectives (3 to 5 PEOs) (PEOs)statements 05 A. Listing of the Program Educational Objectives (3 to 5 PEOs) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability & correctness of the PEOs statements 1.3. Dissemination among Stakeholders 10 A. Adequacy in respect of publication & dissemination (2) B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (2) C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (6) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Adequacy: Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website; Availability at Principal & In charges chambers, notice boards, Availabilit on cuments/course of study B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementate C. Extent of Awareness Based on interaction with internal and external stakeholders 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective process implementation 1.5 A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mi	1.1. Vision and Mission statements	05	A. Availability of the Vision & Mission statements (2)
A. Vision & Mission Statements & B. Correctness from definition perspective 12. Program Educational Objectives (PEOs)statements 05 A. Listing of the Program Educational Objectives (3 to 5 PEOs) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability & correctness of the PEOs statements 13. Dissemination among Stakeholders 10 A. Adequacy in respect of publication & dissemination (2) B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (2) C. Extibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Adequacy: Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website; Availability at Principal & In charges chambers, notice boards, Availability of Javareness B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementar C. Extent of Avareness 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B. Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * * Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective process implementation 1.5. Consistency of PEOs with the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department (5) B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10)			B. Appropriateness/Relevance of the Statements (3)
1.2. Program Educational Objectives 05 A. Listing of the Program Educational Objectives (3 to 5 PEOs) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability & correctness of the PEOs statements 1.3. Dissemination among Stakeholders 10 A. Adequacy in respect of publication & dissemination (2) B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (2) C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (6) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Adequacy: Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website; Availability at Principal & In charges chambers, notice boards, Availability and occuments/course of study B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B. Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective process implementation 1.5 B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (5)	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
(PEOs)statements Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability & correctness of the PEOs statements 10 A. Adequacy in respect of publication & dissemination (2) B. Process of dissemination among Stakeholders 10 B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (2) C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (6) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Adequacy: Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website; Availability at Principal & In charges chambers, notice boards, Availability and ocuments/course of study B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementa C. Extent of Awareness 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with eprocess implementation 1.4. Formulation Process 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * Occumentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with eprocess implementation 1.5. Co	A. Vision & Mission Statements & B. Co	rrectness	from definition perspective
A. Availability & correctness of the PEOs statements 1.3. Dissemination among Stakeholders 10 A. Adequacy in respect of publication & dissemination (2) B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (2) C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (6) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Adequacy: Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website; Availability at Principal & In charges chambers, notice boards, Availability in documents/course of study B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementates C. Extent of Awareness Based on interaction with internal and external stakeholders 1.4. Formulation Process 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: Consistency of PEOs with the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective process implementation Consistency of PEOs with the Mission elements B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and	0	05	A. Listing of the Program Educational Objectives (3 to 5 PEOs)
1.3. Dissemination among Stakeholders 10 A. Adequacy in respect of publication & dissemination (2) B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (2) C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (6) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Adequacy: Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website; Availability at Principal & In charges chambers, notice boards, Availability in documents/course of study B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementa C. Extent of Awareness Based on interaction with internal and external stakeholders 14. Formulation Process 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B. Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders 14. Formulation Process 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B. Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective with effective with effective stakeholders with effective process implementation 15. Consistency of PEOs with the Mission 15 A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (5) B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix A. Availability of a matrix h	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
B. Process of dissemination among stakeholders (2) C. Extent of awareness A. Adequacy: Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website; Availability at Principal & In charges chambers, notice boards, Availability in documents/course of study B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementates C. Extent of Awareness Based on interaction with internal and external stakeholders 1.4. Formulation Process 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective with effective with effective process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective process implementation 1.5. Consistency of PEOs with the Mission 15 B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elem	A. Availability & correctness of the PEC	Os stateme	ents
C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (6) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Adequacy: Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website; Availability at Principal & In charges chambers, notice boards, Availability and ocuments/course of study B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementation T.4. Formulation Process 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective stakeholders with effective process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective process implementation 1.5. Consistency of PEOs with the Mission 15 B. Oresistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix	1.3. Dissemination among Stakeholders	10	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Adequacy: Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website; Availability at Principal & In charges chambers, notice boards, Availability on documents/course of study B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementation C. Extent of Awareness Based on interaction with internal and external stakeholders 1.4. Formulation Process 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix			
A. Adequacy: Vision, Mission and PEOs: Availability on Institute website; Availability at Principal & In charges chambers, notice boards, Availability in documents/course of study B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implemental C. Extent of Awareness Based on interaction with internal and external stakeholders 1.4. Formulation Process 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effectives with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective process implementation 1.5. Consistency of PEOs with the Mission 15 A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (5) B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix			C. Extent of awareness of Vision, Mission & PEOs among the stakeholder (6)
in documents/course of study B. Process of dissemination Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures awareness among internal and external stakeholders with effective process implementation C. Extent of Awareness Based on interaction with internal and external stakeholders 14. Formulation Process 15 A. Description of process involved in defining the Vision and Mission (5) B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: * Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with eprocess implementation 1.5. Consistency of PEOs with the Mission 15 A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (5) B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (5) B. Consistency of PEOs with the Mission 15 A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (5) B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix	C. Extent of Awareness		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with e process implementation 1.5. Consistency of PEOs with the Mission 15 Mission Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of the above matrix (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix	1.4. Formulation Process	15	
 Documentary evidence to indicate the process which ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of an external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of an external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with ensures effective participation of a matrix of PEOs and elements (5). B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix 			B. Description of process involved in defining the PEOs of the program (10)
Mission B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10) Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix	✤ Documentary evidence to indicate the pro	cess whic	ch ensures effective participation of internal and external department stakeholders with effective
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix	1.5. Consistency of PEOs with the	15	A. Preparation of a matrix of PEOs and elements of Mission statement (5)
A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and Mission elements B. Justification for each of the elements mapped in the matrix	Mission		B. Consistency/justification of co-relation parameters of the above matrix (10)
Total: 50	A. Availability of a matrix having PEOs and		
	Total:	50	

Criterion 2: Governance, Leadership & Financial Resources (100)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
2.1. Governance and Leadership	60	
2.1.1. Governance Structure and Policies	30	
2.1.1.1. Governing Structure	10	List the governing, senate, and all other academic and administrative bodies; their memberships, functions, and responsibilities; frequency of the meetings; and attendance therein, details of monitoring of performance done by the BoG.
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	d:	
 Self - explanatory 		
2.1.1.2. Service Rules	10	The published service rules, policies and procedures with year of publication
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	d:	
✤ Self -explanatory		
2.1.1.3. Policies	05	Well defined and implemented policies of governance with stakeholders participating in the development of these policies. Extent of awareness among the faculty and students
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	<i>d</i> :	
✤ Self -explanatory		
2.1.1.4. Strategic Plan	05	Availability and implementation
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	d:	
✤ Self -explanatory		
2.1.2. Faculty Empowerment	15	
2.1.2.1. Faculty Development Policies	05	The institution should have a well-defined faculty development policy to ensure that faculty continues to meet high standards
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	ed:	
 Polices and its effective implementation 	on	

2.1.2.2. Decentralization, delegation of power and Collective decision making	10	 A. List the names of the faculty members who have been delegated powers for taking administrative decisions. Mention details in respect of decentralization in working (1) B. Financial and administrative powers delegated to the Principal, Heads of Departments and relevant in-charges (1) C. Demonstrate the utilization of financial and administrative powers for each of the assessment years (5) D. Procedure for decision making on issues such as strategic development and resourcing with respect to educational provision and management of educational resources (3) 		
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse</i> A., C. & D. Documentary evidence	ed:			
B. Circulars notifying financial powers	5			
2.1.3. Effective governance Indicators	15			
2.1.3.1.Grievance redressal mechanism	02	A. Specify the mechanism and composition of grievance redressal cell (1)		
		B. Action taken report as per 'A' above (1)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	ed:			
A. & B. Documentary evidence		A Information on the national mulas measures is to be made available on web site (2)		
2.1.3.2. Transparency	05	A. Information on the policies, rules, processes is to be made available on web site (2)B. Dissemination of the information about student, faculty and staff (3)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse				
A. & B. Website and Documentary evide	ence			
2.1.3.3. Leader and Faculty selection	05	A. Effective implementation (3)		
process		B. A well-defined and followed selection process should be there for leader and faculty		
		selection process. Institute should provide sufficient proofs of such process being in existence (2)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	ed:			
2.1.3.4. Stability of the academic leaders	03	Retention of HoD and Dean (3)		
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:				
✤ HoD and Dean date of joining; at least	ast three-mon	th (July-April-May) salary statement for each of the assessment year		
2.2. Financial Resources	40			
2.2.1. Budget Allocation, Utilization, and Public Accounting at Institute level	40			

2.2.1.1. Adequacy of Budget allocation	15	A. Quantum of budget allocation for three years (7)B. Justification of budget allocated for three years (8)	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assesse	d:	•	
A. Budget formulation, finalization and a	approval pro	ocess	
B. Requirement – allocation – adequacy	– justificatio	n thereof	
2.2.1.2. Utilization of allocated funds	15	Budget utilization for three years	
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i> A. Balance sheet; effective utilization; random verification for at least two of the three assessment years			
2.2.1.3. Availability of the audited statements on the institute's website	10	Availability of Audited statements on website	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:			
A. Website			
Total:	100		

Criterion 3: Program Outcomes and Course Outcomes (100)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
3.1. Establish the correlation between the courses	20	
and the Program Outcomes (POs) & Program		
Specific Outcomes		
3.1.1. Course Outcomes (COs)	05	List of Course Outcomes at least one course for each semester
3.1.2.CO-PO/PSOs matrices of courses selected in 3.1.1 (four matrices)	05	Explanation of table to be ascertained
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
A. Mapping to be verified for at least two matrices		
3.1.3. Course-PO matrix of all courses in the program	10	Explanation of tables to be ascertained
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i> A. Mapping to be verified for all course per year of stud	lv: progra	m outcomes and program specific outcomes getting mapped with the core courses are also

to be verified		
3.2. Course Outcomes	40	
3.2.1. Describe the assessment processes used to gather the data upon which the evaluation of Course Outcome is based	10	A. List of assessment processes (2)B. The quality /relevance of assessment processes & tools used (8)
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i> A.& B. Evidence for appropriate assessment processes	including	data collection, verification, analysis, decision making
3.2.2. Record the attainment of Course Outcomes of all courses with respect to set attainment levels	30	Verify the attainment levels as per the benchmark set for all courses
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i> A. Methodology to define set levels and its compliance, study to be verified	; data coll	ection, verification, analysis and decision making; details for one course per year of
3.3. Attainment of Program Outcomes and Program Specific Outcomes	40	
3.3.1. Describe assessment tools and processes used to gather the data to evaluate Program Outcomes and Program Specific Outcomes	10	A. List of assessment tools & processes (3)B. The quality/relevance of assessment tools/processes used (7)
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i> A.&B. Direct and indirect assessment tools & processe analysis; decision making based on direct and indirect		e compliance; direct assessment methodology, indirect assessment formats-collection- ent
3.3.2. PO and PSO attainment levels	30	 A. Verification of documents, results and level of attainment of each PO and PSO (10) B. Overall levels of attainment (20)
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i> A. & B. Appropriate attainment level and documentary	evidences	s; details for POs attainment from core courses to be verified.
Total	100	

Evaluation Guidelines with indicative exhibits/context to be Observed/Assessed - SAR PG Management-Autonomous Institute Program Criterion 4: Curriculum and Learning Process (125)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
4.1. Curriculum	50	
4.1.1. State the process for designing the program curriculum	10	Process that periodically documents and demonstrates how the program curriculum is evolved or give the process of gap analysis, whichever is applicable, considering POs (
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
		rate how the program curriculum is evolved and periodically reviewed considering the POs.
		iculum for attaining POs. List the curricular gaps for the attainment of defined POs if applicable.
4.1.2. State the components of the curriculum	15	Refer to SAR: Expectation in 4.1.2 & 4.1.3 & 4.14 is that the curriculum is well balanced structure & appropriate for a Postgraduate Program
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
4.1.3. Transaction of the Curriculum	10	Refer to SAR: Expectation in 4.1.2 & 4.1.3 & 4.14 is that the curriculum is well balanced structure & appropriate for a Postgraduate program
<i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i> Documentary evidence		
4.1.4. Overall quality and level of program curriculum	15	Refer to SAR: Expectation in 4.1.2, 4.1.3 & 4.1.4 is that the curriculum is well balanced structure & appropriate for a Postgraduate program
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
Documentary evidence		
4.2. Learning Processes	75	
4.2.1. Describe the Process followed to improve quality of Teaching Learning	20	 A. Adherence to Academic Calendar (2) B. Improving instructional methods and using pedagogical initiatives (5) C. Methodologies to support weak students and encourage bright students (4) D. Quality of classroom teaching (Observation in a Class) (5) E. Student feedback of teaching learning process and actions taken (4)

A. Availability of Academic Calendar based on University academic calendar and its effective compliance

B. Documentary evidence to support implementation of pedagogical initiatives such as real life examples, collaborative learning, ICT supported learning, LMS, multimedia, interactive class rooms, open resources, etc.

C. Guidelines to identify weak and bright students; post identification actions taken; impact observed

D. Class room ambience; efforts to keep students engE. Feedback format, frequency, analysis and actions		
4.2.2. Quality of continuous assessment and evaluation processes	40	 A. Process for internal semester question paper setting and evaluation and effective process implementation (10) B. Process to ensure questions from outcomes/learning levels perspective (10) C. Evidence of COs coverage in class test / mid-term tests (10) D. Quality of Assignment and its relevance to COs (10)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
A. Process of internal semester question paper settin	•	•
	ard from c	putcome attainment perspective as well as learning levels perspective
C. Mapping of questions with the Course outcomes	0	
	•	m multiple sources, assignment evaluation and feedback to the students, mapping with the COs
4.2.3. Quality of student reports/dissertation	15	A. Identification of projects and allocation methodology to Faculty Members (1)
		B. Types and relevance of the reports and their contribution towards attainment of POs (3)
		C. Process for monitoring and evaluation (3)
		D. Process to assess individual and team performance (5)
		E. Quality of dissertation (3)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
A. Projects identification and guide allocation Prod	cess	
B. Projects classification (application, product, res	earch, rev	iew etc.) consideration to factors such as environment, safety, ethics, cost, standards and
mapping with program outcomes and program s	specific oi	utcomes
C. Continuous monitoring mechanism and evaluation		
	ss individi	al contribution/understanding of the project as well as collective contribution/understanding Based
on projects		
Total:	125	

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines				
5.1. Enrolment Ratio (20)	20	 A. >= 90% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three years starting from current academic year (20) B. >= 80% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three years starting from current academic year (16) C. >= 70% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three years starting from current academic year (12) D. >= 60% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three years starting from current academic year (12) D. >= 60% students enrolled at the First Year Level on average basis during the previous three years starting from current academic year (8) E. Otherwise '0'. 				
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	d:					
 Data to be verified for each of the ass 	essment ye	ars				
5.2. Success Rate (Students clearing in minimum time)	10	S.I. = Number of students completing program in minimum duration/ Number of students admitted Average SI = Mean of Success Index (SI) for past three batches Success rate = $10 \times \text{Average SI}$				
	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:					
5.3. Final Year Academic Performance (Percentage marks scored)	10	Academic Performance = Average API (Academic Performance Index) API = ((Mean of final Year Grade Point Average of all successful Students on a 10-point scale) or (Mean of the percentage of marks of all successful students in final year/10)) x (number of successful students/number of students appeared in the examination) Successful students are those who have passed in all final year courses.				
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:					
✤ Data to be verified for at least one of a	the assessr	nent years				
5.4. Placement, Higher studies and Entrepreneurship	40					

5.4.1 Placement	30	Assessment Points = $30 \times$ average of three years of [X+Y+(1.2*Z)]/N, Where,
		N is the total no. of students admitted in first year,
		X is No. of students placed in companies or Government Sector,
		Y isNo. of students pursuing Ph.D. / Higher Studies,
		Z is No. of students turned entrepreneur (In the areas related to management discipline)
5.4.2. Quality of Placement	10	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	l:	
✤ Data to be verified for at least one ass	essment y	ear
5.5. Student Diversity	05	Diversity may include Experience, Gender diversity, Qualification, Geographic diversity (within state, outside state, outside country)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	l:	
Students enrolled		
5.6. Professional Activities	15	
5.6.1.Student's participation in professional societies / chapters and organizing management events	10	 A. Availability & activities of professional societies/chapters (2) B. Number, quality of Management events (organized at institute) (8) Level: i. Institute (_) ii. State (_) iii. National (_) iv. International (_)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	!:	
Self - Explanatory		
5.6.2. Student's Publications	05	List the publications along with the names of the authors and publishers, etc.
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	l:	
 Documentary evidence - Students part 	icipation	(also to be confirmed during interaction with the students)
Total:	100	

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
6.1. Student-Faculty Ratio	10	Marks to be given from a maximum of 10 to a minimum of 5 for average SFR between 15:1to 25:1, and zero for average SFR higher than 25:1 (Refer calculation in SAR) as given below: $<=15$ - $<=17$ - <09 Marks $<=19$ - <08 Marks $<=21$ - <06 Marks $<=25$ - <05 Marks $<=25$ - <00 Mark $<=25.0$ - <00 Mark

Criterion 6: Faculty Attributes and Contributions (250)

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

- The SFR is to be calculated based on the faculty of the Department.
- No. of Regular faculty calculation considering Regular faculty definition*; Faculty appointment letters, time table, subject allocation file, salary statements.
- No. of students calculation as mentioned in the SAR (please refer table under criterion 6.1)
- Faculty Qualification as per AICTE guidelines shall only be counted

*All the faculty whether regular or contractual (except part-time or hourly based), will be considered. The contractual faculty appointed with any terminology whatsoever, who have taught for 2 consecutive semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters in corresponding academic year on full-time basis shall be considered for the purpose of calculation in the faculty student ratio. However, following will be ensured in case of contractual faculty:

- i. Shall have the AICTE prescribed qualifications and experience.
- ii. Shall be appointed on full time basis and worked for consecutive two semesters with or without break between the 2 semesters during the particular academic year under consideration.
- iii. Should have gone through an appropriate process of selection and the records of the same shall be made available to the visiting team during NBA visit

		Cadre Proportion Marks =
6.2. Faculty Cadre 20	20	$\left[\left(\frac{AF1}{RF1} + \left(\frac{AF2}{RF2} * 0.6 \right) + \left(\frac{AF3}{RF3} * 0.4 \right) \right] * 10 \right]$
		• If $AF1 = AF2 = 0$ then zero marks
		• Maximum marks to be limited if it exceeds 20
		(Refer calculation in SAR)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		

6.3. Faculty Qualification	20	$FQ = 2.0 x [\{10X + 4Y\}/F] where$
		X is no. of faculty with Ph.D., Y is no. of faculty with M.B.A., F is no. of faculty required to comply 1:20 Faculty Student ratio (no. of faculty required to be calculated as per 6.1)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:	,	
 Documentary evidence – Faculty Quality 	fication	
6.4. Faculty Retention	20	A. ≥90% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAY <i>m</i> 2 as base year (20)
		B. ≥75% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAY <i>m</i> 2 as base year (15)
		C. ≥60% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAY <i>m</i> 2 as base year (10)
		 D. ≥50% of required Faculties retained during the period of assessment keeping CAYm2 as base year (08) E. Otherwise (0)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
✤ Faculty date of joining; at least three-me	onth (July-	April-May) salary statement for each of the assessment years
6.5. Faculty Initiatives on Teaching and	15	A. The work must be made available on Institute Website (4)
Learning		B. The work must be available for peer review and critique (4)
		C. The work must be reproducible and developed further by other scholars (4)
		D. Statement of clear goals, use of appropriate methods, significance of results, effective presentation and reflective critique (3)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
A. Availability on Institute website; awarenB. & C. Self -explanatory	ness among	g faculty and students of the department
1 1	rement of s	tudent learning, typically include use of ICT, instruction delivery, instructional methods,
assessment, evaluation etc.		

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
✤ No.of programs organized and duration	of each pr	ograms and no.of candidates participated
6.7. Faculty Performance, appraisal and development system	15	 A. A well -defined performance appraisal and development system instituted for all the assessment years (5) B. Its implementation and effectiveness (10)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
A. Notified performance appraisal and de B. Implementation, Transparency and Eff		system; Appraisal Parameters; Awareness
6.8. Visiting/Adjunct faculty	10	 A. Provision of Visiting /Adjunct/Emeritus faculty etc. (2) B. Minimum 50 hours per year interaction (per year to obtain four marks: 4 * 2 = 8)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
 ✤ Documentary evidence 		
6.9. Academic Research	70	 A. Faculty Paper Publication (List of Publications in referred journals, reputed conferences, books, book chapters, casestudies in public domain etc.) (50) B. List of Ph.D. /Fellowship titles (FPM) awarded during the assessment period while working in the institute (20)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
A. Quality of publications; publications coB. Documentary evidence	py; Marks	to be awarded with due regards to citations, H Index and other Quality parameters
6.10. Sponsored Research	20	Funded research from outside; considering faculty members contributing to the programFunding Amount (Cumulative for CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3):Amount \geq 30 Lacs - 20 MarksAmount \geq 20 Lacs and $<$ 30 lacs - 15 MarksAmount \geq 10 Lacs and $<$ 20 lacs - 10 MarksAmount \geq 5 Lacs and $<$ 10 lacs - 05 MarksAmount \geq 3 Lacs and $<$ 3 lacs - 02 MarksAmount \geq 3 Lacs and $<$ 3 lacs - 02 MarksAmount $<$ 3 Lacs - 0 Mark

Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:

* Documentary evidence; Funding agency, Amount, Duration, Research progress; Outcome

6.11. Consultancy/Testing/Training	25	(Provide a list with Project Title, consulting, Funding Agency, Amount and Duration)Funding amount (Cumulative during CAYm1, CAYm2 and CAYm3):Amount >= 25 Lacs25 Marks,Amount >= 20 and < 25 Lacs20 Marks,Amount >= 15 and < 20 Lacs15 Marks,Amount >= 10 and < 15 Lacs10 Marks,Amount >= 5 and < 10 Lacs5 Marks,Amount >= 3 and < 5 lacs - 02 MarksAmount < 3 Lacs-0 Mark
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
 Documentary evidence; Funding <i>agency</i>, Ar 	mount,	, Duration, Research progress; Outcome
6.12. Faculty as consultant of the industries	10	Qualitative assessment on the basis of type of consultancy, number of faculty involved,type of industries and completion of consultancy assignments (10)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
 Justification for marks awarded to be given 		
6.13. Preparation of teaching Cases	10	The development and use of cases in teaching and thus promoting learners critical thinking skills
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
 Justification for marks awarded to be given 		
Total:	250	

Criterion 7: Industry & International Connect (100)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
7.1. Industry Connect	60	
7.1.1. Initiatives related to industry interaction including industry internship/summer training/study tours/ guest lectures	15	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
 Justification for marks awarded to be given 		
7.1.2. Participation of Industry professionals in curriculum development, projects, assignmentsas examiners, in summer projects	15	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
 Justification for marks awarded to be given 		
7.1.3. Initiatives related to industry including executive education, industry sponsored labs, and industry sponsorship of student activities	15	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
 Justification for marks awarded to be given 		
 7.1.4. Involvement of industry professional as members of various academic bodies/board 	15	
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
 Justification for marks awarded to be given 		
7.2. International Connect	40	International Students, Student Immersion Programs, Faculty Exchange Programs and Collaborative Research Projects. These would also include online initiatives to engage with international academic communities
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
✤ Self-explanatory		
Total:	100	

Criterion 8: Infrastructure (75)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines
8.1. Classrooms & Learning facilities	25	 A. Adequate well-equipped classrooms to meet the curriculum (10) B. Availability of E-learning facilities, utilization; initiatives to ensure students learning (15)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
♦ Adequacy; well-equipped classrooms; u		
8.2. Library	20	A. Availability of relevant learning resources including e-resources and Digital Library (15)B. Accessibility to students (5)
 <i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i> Self-explanatory 		
8.3. IT Infrastructure and Learning Management System	30	 A. Availability of composite hardware, software, network resources and services required for the existence, operation and management of an institution's IT environment (15) B. Availability of LMS related core functionalities like sharing of learning resources, Assessment and external learning linkages, 24/7 learner support, Discussion Forums and learner engagement (15)
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:		
 Self -explanatory 		
Total:	75	

Criterion 9: Alumni Performance and Connect (50)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines				
9.1. Alumni association	10	A. Duly formed (5) B. Registered (5)				
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:					
 Verify documents 						
9.2. Involvement of alumni	25	Alumni meet, visit to institution and interaction with students, involvement in curriculum development, project guidance, assistance in entrepreneurship, mentoring of students, assistance in placement, resources raised, etc.				
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed Justification for marks awarded to be give						
9.3.Methodology to connect with Alumni and its implementation	15	Alumni portal, database, alumni meet, frequency of meets, alumni chapters, newsletter				
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: Justification for marks awarded to be given 						
Total:	50					

Criterion 10: Continuous Improvement (50)

Sub Criteria	Marks	Evaluation Guidelines			
10.1. Actions taken based on theresults of evaluation of each of the POs and PSO	20	Action taken details for each of the POs and PSOs			
 Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: Justification for marks awarded to l 					
10.2. Academic Audit and actions taken during the period of Assessment	10	Assessment shall be based on conduct and actions taken in relation to continuous improvement (10)			
 <i>Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed:</i> <i>Academic</i> Audit assessment criteria, frequency, conduct mechanism, action plan based on audit, implementation and effectiveness 					
10.3. Improvement in Placement, Higher Studies and Entrepreneurship	10	 Assessment is based on improvement in: (Refer placement index 5.4) A. Improvement in Placements (5) B. Improvement in Higher Studies (3) C. Improvement in number of Entrepreneurs (2) (Marks to be given proportionately considering nos. in the base year CAY<i>m</i>2) 			
Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: A. B. & C. Nos. in each year of the assessment; improvement considering CAYm2 as a base year					
10.4. Improvement in the quality of students admitted to the program	10				
	Exhibits/Context to be Observed/Assessed: Justification for marks awarded to be given				
Total:	50				